

GP 17  
27.9.12

In the High Court of Judicature at Patna

( Civil Writ Jurisdiction Cases )

C.W.J.B.No.                      of 2013

Saiyaf Mah Nayair Hussain .....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar & others.....Respondents.

Sub - Land ceiling (Sarpalag)

Index

1. Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. 1-19
2. Annexure-1 : A true photo/type/Certified copy of order dated 30.12.96 passed in revision case No. 199 of 1993 by member, Board of Revenue, Bihar. 20- 23
3. Annexure-2 : A true photo/type copy of Note of written argument filed in the learned court of Additional Collector, Madhepura. 24-30
4. Vakalatnama.

In the High Court of Judicature at Patna

( Civil Writ Jurisdiction case )

C.W.J.C.No.            of 2013

Satyad Mah Nayair Hussain.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar & others....Respondents.

Synopsis.

Relief Sought for :

To issue writ in the nature of mandamus for giving

direction to Additional Collector, Mathepura

( Respondent no.4 ) to dispose off the Land Ceiling

Case No. 20/92-93 earlier numbered as 289 / 1973-74  
534

which was taken up afresh in light of remand order

dated 30.12.1996 ( Annexure-1 ) of Member Board of

Revenue ( Respondent No.2 ) In the aforesaid

mentioned case all the pleading and arguments

of all the parties has been completed long back but

still no final order has been passed by Respondent No

2.

A land ceiling ( Surplus) case was instituted against the petitioner's mother. Petitioner filed a objection under Section 10(3) of the land ceiling Act in the court of learned Additional Collector, Madhepura in L.C.case No. 289/73-74 who passed 20/52-93 the final order on 29.3.93.

The petitioner and Private Respondent No.5 and 6 being aggrieved by the aforesaid order preferred L.C.Appeal No. before Collector which was also dismissed by order dated 23.8.93.

Annexure-1 - Petitioner and private respondent  
30.12.96

No.5 and 6 preferred Revision case No. 199 of 1993 before member, Board of Revenue Patna which was allowed. The case was remanded to Additional Collector Madhepura for fresh Consideration.

3.

- The petitioner and private respondent

No.5 and 6 appeared before learned

Additional Collector, Madhepura, the

Case no. 289/73-74 was revived.  
20/92-93

Annexure-2

- Petitioner filed his note of

written argument.

The pleading and arguments of all

the parties has already been completed

long back. But no final order has been

passed.

Hence this wit petition.

In the High Court of Judicature at Patna

( Civil Writ Jurisdiction )

C.W.J.C.No.                      of 2013

In the matter of an  
application Under Article  
226 of the Constitution of  
India.

And

In the matter of :-  
Saiyad Sah Mayad Hussain s/o Late Saiyad Sah  
Kamar Hussain . Resident of Village Warulshpur  
( Yogiraj) P.S.Puraini District Madhepura.

....Petitioner

Versus

1. The Stat of Bihar
2. Member, Board of Revenue, Bihar, Patna.

2.

3. The Collector, District Madhepura, ( Madhepura )

4. Additional Collector,

Madhepura.

5. Bibi Ozera Khatoon D/O Late Saiyad Sah Kamar

Hussain.

6. Bibi Quraya Khatoon D/O Late Saiyad Sah Kamar

Hussain.

Both resident of Village Murulohpur ( Yogiraj )

P.S. Suraini District - Madhepura.

.....Respondents.

To,

The Hon'ble Ms. Rekha M. Doshit the Chief Justice

of the High Court of Judicature at Patna and

her Companion Justices of the said Hon'ble

Court.

The humble petition on

behalf of the petitioner

named above

3.

Most Respectfully sheweth:-

1. That this is an application for issuance of appropriate writ/ writs, order/ orders, direction for the following reliefs:-

(i) To issue writ in the nature of mandamus for giving direction to Additional Collector, Madhepura ( Respondent No.4) to dispose off the Land Ceiling Case No. 20/92-93 earlier numbered as 289 /1973-74 534 which was taken up afresh in light of remand order dated 30.12. 1996 ( Annexure-1 ) of Member Board of Revenue ( Respondent No.2) In the aforesaid mentioned case all the pleading and arguments of all the parties has been completed long back but still no final order has been passed by Respondent no.4.

(ii) To further give such relief/ reliefs to the petitioner for which he may be entitled for in the present facts and circumstances of the case.

4.

2. That the Substantial issue involved in this writ petition are as follows :-

- (a) Whether the abnormal long delay in disposal of the case in question is due to complete inaction and failure to discharge the quasi-judicial function by respondent No. 4 ?.
- (b) Whether the petitioner is being deprived of his livelihood due to unnecessary delay as disputed land involved in the said case is the only source of his income ?
- (c) Whether the Justice delayed is not Justice denied to petitioner ?.



5.

(d) Whether the petitioner has suffered a lot physically mentally as well as economically due non-disposal of the said case by Respondent No.4 for about last 16 years ?.

3. That petitioner is a citizen of India and lives within Jurisdiction of this Hon'ble court.

4. That the case of the petitioner is that a Land Ceiling surplus case was initiated against petitioner's mother Subera Khatoon in respect of 27 acres of land pertaining to Mauza- Jotaili and Yogiraj ,Thane Kishunganj, District Madhepura .  
The details of lands are give below.

Mauza	Khata (N)	Khasra (N)	Area ( Acre- Decimal)
Jotaili	126	1079	0-64

6.

150

1080

0-26

1081

2-30

1083

1-53

1037

1-05

1038

0-83

1039

3-31

1040

1-50

811

0-24

821

0-77

825

01-22

830

02-45

848

02-14

849

01-76

850

0 -94

125

126

513

6-04

804

01-29

805

06-46

7.

		812	00-28
		817	<u>00-44</u>
		Total	23-45
Yogiraj	105	352	00-11
	117	343	00-45
		372	00-50
	218	658	01-08
	223	556	01-09
	230	683	<u>00-42</u>
		Total	26-81

5. That in the aforesaid mentioned case a notice had been issued in the name of petitioner's mother Zubera Khatun in respect to disputed land . During the Pendency of the case petitioner's mother died and as such was substituted by her heirs and legal representative which included petitioner and private respondent.

8.

6. That the petitioner and the private respondent 5 and 6 filed their objection under section 10(3) of the land Ceiling Act in the L.C. Case No. ~~289/73-74~~  
~~20/97-98~~ going on in the court of Additional Collector, Madhepura.

7. That the learned Additional Collector, Madhepura after hearing the parties in the aforesaid mentioned case passed the final order on 29.3.93.

8. That being aggrieved by order dated 29.3.93 of Respondent No.4 a appeal vide L.C.Appeal Case no.15/93 was preferred before District Collector, Madhepura who also after hearing the parties was pleased to dismissed the same by order dated 23.8.93.

9. That the petitioner alongwith private respondent ,No. 5 and 6 preferred revision before member Board of Revenue ( Respondent No.2) vide

9.

Revision Case No. 199 of 1993 against the order dated 23.8.93 passed in L.C. Appeal Case No. 15/93.

10. That the learned Board of revenue, Bihar after hearing the parties was pleased to allow the revision case No. 199 of 1993 by order dated 30.12.96 after setting aside the order dated 29.3.93 passed by Additional Collector Madhepura, and order dated 23.8.93 passed by District Collector, Madhepura. It further remanded the matter to trial court for fresh consideration and disposal in accordance with law after considering the cases of the daughters and sons of Mufti Fazlat Husrain

A true photo/Type/Certified  
copy of order dated 30.12.96  
passed in revision case No.  
199 of 1993 by member, Board  
of Revenue, Bihar is annexed

10.


herewith and marked as Annexure-1

to this petition.

11. That it is stated that after the aforesaid remand order petitioner appeared before the Additional Collector, Madhepura and accordingly L.C. Case No. ~~289-73-74~~ <sup>20/92-93</sup> revived for fresh consideration in light of the remand order .

12. That the case of petitioner put forward in the learned Trial court is <sup>as</sup> follows :

13. That for better appreciation petitioner's case a genealogy of Mufti Fazilat Hussain is given below.



14. That the mother of the petitioner Zubera Khatoon

11.

Use to live in her father's place because father of the petitioner Late Saiyad Sah Kamar Hussain had no property of his own.

15. That the petitioner's mother Zubera Khatoon died on 28.7.1992 where as father died in the year 1950.

16. That at the time of marriage of petitioner's mother Zubera Khatoon, her father gifted her 27 acres of land and she was put in exclusive possession of it.

17. That there was a partition suit in the family vide Partition suit no. 39/1952 in the learned court below. The case was compromised between the parties in which mother of petitioner was allotted 21,62 1/2 acres of land and the same was in her sister's share.

18. That at the time of intermedatory rights

12.

a report was submitted in the name of Zubera Khatoon (petitioner's mother) by exland lord and thereafter name was mutated. In 1965-66 the Survey operation started and final publication of Khatian was published in 1976.

19. That the petitioner's mother Zubera Khatoon partitioned her entire land in the year 1972 among all the three childrens as per given below and accordingly their names also mutated in the Serista of Bihar Government in respect of their shares.

Land falling in the share of petitioner

Mauza	Khata No. (N)	Khesra No. (N)	Area (A.D)
Jotaili.	125	<u>830</u> 821	<u>2-45</u> 0-77
	126	<u>804</u> 805	<u>1-29</u> 0-46
3 Acre.74 decimal.		513	0-04
		817	0-44



13.

812	0-28
750	0-94
840	1-76
811	0-24
825	1-22
848	<u>2-14</u>

Total 11-99

Lands following in the share of Respondent No. 6

( Bibi Quraiya Khatoon )

Mauza	Khata (O)	Khesra (O)	Area ( A-D )
Jogiraj	230	683	0-42
		343	0-45
		372	<u>0-50</u>

Total 01-37

Lands following in the share of Respondent No.

5 ( Bibi Uzra Khatoon )

Mauza	Khata (O)	Khesra (o)	Area ( A.D )
-------	-----------	------------	-----------------

	14.		
Jogiraj	218	658	1-08
	105	352	0-41
	223	665	1-09
	205	336	<u>0-55</u>
			Total 03-13

20. That as per the definition of family, the petitioner and his sisters, respondent No.5 and 6 are together entitled for three units namely

(i) Petitioner as per

Matriculation

Certificate.

- One Unit.

(ii) Uzara Khatoon

( Respondent No.5)

- One Unit

( Her son born in 1970)

(iii) Biki Suraiya Khatoon

( Respondent no. 6)

- One unit

( Her son born in 1971)

15.

21. That there is no irrigational facility to the land of petitioner.

22. That the petitioner and her mother Zubera Khatoon were only concerned with the gifted land by late Mufti Fazilat Hussain.

23. That in the present land Ceiling case the lands of Late Mufti Fazilat Hussain were clubbed together with the lands of petitioner although three sons of late Mufti Fazilat Hussain are major and they have already been allotted separate unit.

24. That in a similar manner the lands of Mausil of petitioner Safoora Khatoon was declared surplus which are situated in Wakishanganj Anchal and in a Misc. Case vide case No.28/93-94 filed by Safoora Khatoon, Learned Collector Madhepura by his order dated 5.7.93 ordered for exclusion of declared surplus land. The petitioner

16.

case is squarely covered by the aforesaid decision.

25. That it is stated that after argument was completed in the learned court below in L.C. Case

Nb. 209/73-94 a note of written argument was  
20/92-93

also filed by the petitioner.

A true photo/ type copy of Note  
of written argument filed in  
the learned court of Additional  
Collector, Madhepura is annexed  
herewith and marked as Annexure

-3 to this petition.

26. That it is stated that after completion of  
pleading of parties, the said case became ready for  
passing of final order.

27. That it is stated that even after passing  
of several years no final order has been passed in  
L.C. Case Nb. 209/73-74 by learned Additional  
20/92-93  
Collector, Madhepura.

17.

26. That the Respondent No.4 has totally failed to discharge his quasi judicial function by keeping the said case pending for long time without passing any final order.

27. That due to inaction of Respondent No.4, the petitioner is facing great hardship hysically, mentally as well as economically.

28. That it is necessary for the end of Justice to direct the Respondent No.4 to pass final order in L.C. Case No. 289/73-74 as soon as possible.  
20/92-93

29. That the petitioner has got no other alternative/ efficacious remedy than to file the present writ petition.

30. That petitioner has not moved earlier before this Hon'ble court for similar relief.

It is, therefore, prayed that  
your lordship may graciously

18.

be pleased to issue Rule -NISI, calling upon the respondents to show-cause as to why the reliefs sought for in this writ petition be not granted by an appropriate writ, orders or directions, and upon return of the rule and on showing cause if any and after hearing the parties, the rule may be made absolute, against the respondents.

And/or

pass such other order/orders as your Lordship may deem fit and proper.

And for this the petitioner shall ever pray.

Affidavit.

I, Saiyad Sah Nayair Hussain aged about 60 years S/O Late Saiyad Sah Kamar Hussain, resident of Village Nurulahpur ( Yogira ) P.S.Puraini District Madhepura, do hereby solemnly affirm and say as follows:-

1. That I am petitioner in this case and as such am well acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the case.
2. That the contents of this petition have been read by me which I have understood.
3. That the statement made in paragraph nos. 3, 5, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 25, 30 are true to my knowledge and those made in paragraph nos. 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 17, 18, 23, 24 are true to my information derived from the record of the case and rest are by way of submission of this Hon'ble court.
4. That the annexures are true/photocopies of its originals.

Annexure-1



प्रतिनिधि के लिए आवेदन की तिथि Date of application for the copy.	स्टाम्प और फोलियो की अपेक्षित संख्या तय करने की तिथि Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios.	अपेक्षित स्टाम्प और फोलियो देने की तिथि Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तारीख, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिनिधि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिनिधि देने की तिथि Date of making over the copy to the applicant.
829/10-9-97	10-9-97	10-9-97	829/10-9-97	10-9-97

20

Board of Revenue, Bihar.

Case NO. 199 of 1993.

Dated Patna, the 30-12-96.

Present:- Shri M.Kumar, I.A.S. Adm. Member.

Petition u/s 32 of the Ceiling Act from the order dated 23-8-93 in the matter of petition in case no.15/93 in the District of Madhipura.

<sup>Muhammad Hussain</sup>  
Syed Shah Naliyar & others.. Petr.

The State of Bihar.. O.P.

For petitioner: M. Anwar Hussain & Co. Advocates.

For Opposite part :- A.C.S.

RESOLUTION

This revision application is directed against the order passed by District Collector, Madhipura on <sup>23-8-93</sup> ~~23-8-93~~ in L.C. Appeal Case no. 15/93. The learned Appellate Court has dismissed the appeal against the order passed by the Trial Court of A.C., Madhipura on 29-3-93 in L.C. case no. 20/92-93 which was numbered earlier as <sup>289</sup> ~~289~~ of 1973-74. The 3 petitioners are the <sup>maternal</sup> ~~maternal~~ grand children of Mufti Fazal Hussain.

Hears the learned Counsels representing the petitioner and the State. Also hears the petitioner Mufti Anwar Hussain. Perused the impugned order, the L.C. records and papers on record.







प्रतिलिपि के लिए आवेदन की तिथि Date of application for the copy.	छाप्य और फोलियो की उपयुक्त संख्या सूचित करने की निश्चित तिथि Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios	उपरोक्त छाप्य और फोलियो देने की तिथि Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तिथि, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिलिपि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिलिपि देने की तिथि Date of making over the copy to the applicant.
---	--	---	---	---

21

of the instant revision.

*Fazlat*

In brief, the original landholder Mufti Fazlat Hussain had 3 sons and two daughters. The present petitioner no.1 is the son and the petitioner no.2 and 3 are daughters of the Bibi Zubera Khatoon, one of the daughters of Fazlat Hussain. The intervener is one of the three sons of Fazlat Hussain. On behalf of the State, it was argued that Fazlat Hussain is stated to have gifted 27 acres of land to Zubera Khatoon at the time of her marriage. Her husband and father of petitioner no.1 2 and 3 died in the year 1950. Fazlat Hussain died in 1965 and under the Mohammedan Law after death of the landholder, shares accrued to his 2 daughters and 3 sons. As on 9.9.70, the 3 sons of Fazlat Hussain in their own rights, would be entitled to one ceiling unit each if major, provided that land shares of each of them is exceeding the permissible limit. The inherited share of daughter Zubera Khatoon would be added to land gifted to her by her father at the time of marriage which was prior to 1950 and land, if any, in name of her spouse would get added so as to determine surplus of land, if any, on 9.9.70, the appointed date. On 9.9.70, Zubera Khatoon would represent the statutory family of her late husband and be entitled to a unit if land in the family exceeds a one





प्रतिनिधि के लिए आवेदन की तारीख -Date of application for the copy.	स्टाम्प और फोलियो की अपेक्षित संख्या सूचित करने की निश्चित तारीख Date fixed for notifying the requisite number of stamps and folios.	अपेक्षित स्टाम्प और फोलियो देने की तारीख Date of delivery of the requisite stamps and folios.	तारीख, जबकि देने के लिए प्रतिनिधि तैयार थी Date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	आवेदक को प्रतिनिधि देने की तारीख Date of making over the copy to the applicant.

22

: 3 :

full ceiling unit. The husband of Zubera Khatoon is stated to be landless in his own right during his life time. An exercise, very similar in nature, has to be made in regard to lands of the other sister Safura Khatoon as well. Moreover, the alleged partition suit no 39 of 1952, which is stated to have been compromised during life time of the father, on strength of which Zubera Khatoon got 21 acres and 62.5 decimals shall add further to the land holding of Zubera Khatoon on 9.9.70. The partition of 1972 of Zubera Khatoon has to be assessed in light of her entitlement for one unit only as on 9.9.70 and any partition in excess of of land area exceeding one unit would be infructuous.



I find that the position under the law has been brought out very clearly by the State counsel whereas the two learned courts have proceeded in a different manner altogether. In fitness of things, the orders passed by the learned courts below are hereby set aside and the case is remanded to the Trial Court for fresh consideration and disposal in accordance with law after considering the cases of the daughters and sons of Mufti Fazlur Hussain.

Annexure-2'

24

In,

The Court of Additional Collector,

Madhepura.

L.C. Case No. 289/73-74

20/92-93

- 1) Saiyad Sah Nayan Hussain  
2) Bibi Ozera Khatoon  
3) Bibi Suraya Khatoon
- Land holders

Versus

The State of Bihar ----- Opposite Party.

Notes of written argument filed on behalf of the  
land holders:-

Story of the above noted land Ceiling Case  
is as follow:-

- 1) Order sheet dt. 19.11.92 of this case speaks that  
after receiving the notice vide D.B. No. 17 dt. 8.12.92  
Bibi Zubera the mother of these above named land holders  
appeared before the court and filed an objection u/s-  
10(3) of the Act and final order passed on 29.3.93
- 2) These land holders filed an appeal which was


disposed of by the learned Collector, Madhepura on

23.8.93.

e/c  
1/11  
2002

3) That, against appellants order dt. 23.8.93 these land holders filed revision petition before learned Board of Revenue, Bihar Patna vide revision Petition No. 199/93 in which after argument the learned member of Board set-aside the orders dt. 29.3.93 passed by learned additional Collector and as well as order dt. 23.8.93 passed by the Collector, Madhepura and the case is remanded to the trial court for fresh consideration and disposal in accordance with law after considering the case of the daughters and son of Mufti Fajilat Hussain on 30.12.96 .

4) For better appreciation of the case of these petitioners a genealogy of Mufti Fajilat Hussain is given below:-



from the perusal of above genealogy it appeared that proceeding is initiated in the name of Bibi Zuberah Khatun the mother of these petitioners and the lands of Late Mufti Fajilat Hussain were clubbed together with the lands of these petitioners although all the three sons of Late Mufti Fajilat Hussain are major and they have already been allotted separate unit.

5) That, Zuberah Khatun the mother of these petitioners died on 28.7.1992 where as the father Mr. Quamar of these petitioners died in the year 1950 and the petitioner's mother Zuberah Khatun used to live in her father's place because Mr. Quamar the father of these petitioners had no property of his own.

It is essential to mention that at the time of marriage of Zuberah Khatun her father gifted an area of 27 acres of lands put her in exclusive possession which had been partitioned as compromise vide partition Suit No. 39/1952 and accordingly Zuberah Khatun was allotted an area of 21.62½ of land and the same was in her sister's share.

6) That, at the time of inter-mediatory rights a report was submitted in the name of Zuberah Khatun

by exland lord and there after her name was mutated.

In 1965-66 the survey opration started and final publica-  
-tion of khatian was published in 1976.

7) That, neither the mother of these petitioners nor these petitioners have or had got no concerned with other lands except the lands gifted to their mother Jubera Khatoon are given which detailed are noted below.

8) That, it is most important to mention here that their mother Zubera Khatoon partitioned her entire lands in the year 1972 among all the three petitioners and the detail of those lands are noted in Schedule B.C.&D. and accordingly their names also mutated in the serista of Bihar Government in respect of their share.

9) That, no irrigational facilities to the land of these petitioners.

10) That, the sons of petitioner No. 2 Sureya Khatoon and petitioner No. 3 Uzara Khatoon are in service the photo copy of matriculation certificates are being filed for your honour's perusal and kind consideration and hence as per the definition of family given in the Act, these petitioners are entitled to get three units namely:-

- as per Matriculation Certificate - One Unit
- 2) Uzara Khatoon - One Unit  
(her son born in 1970)
- 3) Bibi Suraiya Khatoon -- - One Unit.  
(her son born in 1971)
- 11) That, the lands of ~~Surra~~ Sebra Khatoon declared surplus which are situated in Udakishunganj Anchal and a Misc. Case Vide Case No. 28/93.94 was filed by Sufra Khatoon for exclusion from the final notification and the learned Collector, Madhepura by his order dt. 5.7.93 ordered for exclusion and the case of these petitioners, is on same footing.

It is therefore prayed that your honour may graciously be pleased to exempt the lands of these petitioners and be further pleased to recommend for denotification of previous notification.

And for this the petitioners shall ever pray.

Petitioners,  
Through

SCHEDULE- A

29

Lands of Bibi Jubera Khatoon

<u>Mouza</u>	<u>Khata (N)</u>	<u>Khesra (N)</u>	<u>Area.</u> <u>A. D.</u>
Jotaili	126	1079	0-64
	150	1080	0-26
		1081	2-30
		1083	1-53
		1137	1-05
		1138	0-83
		1139	3-31
		1140	1-50
	125	811	0-24
		821	0-77
		825	01-22
		830	02-45
		848	02-14
		849	01-76
		126	850
513			0-04
804			01-29
805			0-46
812	00-28		
	817	00-44	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>= 23=45</b>	
Yogiraj	105	352	00-41
	117	343	00-45
		372	00-50
	218	658	01-08
	223	556	01-09
	230	683	00-42
	<b>Total =</b>	<b>26-81</b>	



SCHEDULE-B

L  
Lands fell in the share of son namely- Saiyad Shah Naiyer Hussain.

<u>Mouza</u>	<u>Khata(N)</u>	<u>Khesra (N)</u>	<u>Area. A.D.</u>
Jotaili	125	830	2-45
		821	0-77
	126	804	1-29
		805	0-46
		513	0-04
		817	0-44
		812	0-28
		850	0-94
		840	1-76
		811	0-24
		825	1-22
		848	2-14
Total =			11-99

SCHEDULE-C


Lands fell to the share of daughter Bibi Suraiya Khatoon.

<u>Mouza</u>	<u>Khata (O)</u>	<u>Khesra (O)</u>	<u>Area. A.D.</u>
Jogiraj	230	683	0-42
	117	343	0-45
		372	0-50
Total =			01-37

SCHEDULE-D

Lands fell to the share of daughter Bibi Ujra Khatoon.

<u>Mouza</u>	<u>Khata (O)</u>	<u>Khesra (O)</u>	<u>Area. A.D.</u>
Jogiraj	218	658	1-08
	105	352	0-41
	223	665	1-09
	205	336	0-55
Total =			03-13



: 4 :

dictated  
and corrected by me.

SA/- M.Kumar,  
Addl. Member,  
Board of Revenue, Bihar.

SA/- M.Kumar  
Additional Member,  
Board of Revenue, Bihar.

Typed by      Compared by

Total no. of words. 625 ( Six-  
hundred and twentyfive) Only.

*Armed*  
10/9/97

*[Signature]*  
10/9/97



अभिप्राणित सखी प्रतिलिपि।  
*[Signature]*  
10.9.97  
पञ्जाब प्रदेश, विहार, पटना  
विधि भाग