

G.P. 2
6.03.14
1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(CIVIL WRIT JURISDICTION)

C.W.J.C. NO. _____ / Of 2014

Saligram Yadav Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar & Ors. Respondents.

Sub:-Land Ceiling Act.

INDEX

<u>SL.NO.</u>	<u>PARTICULARS.</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
1	Main Petition with Affidavit & Synopsis	1-12
2.	Annexure-1-A photo copy of the entire order Sheet of Ceiling appeal no. 01/09.	13-15
3.	Annexure-2-A photo copy of the Information Application dt. 22.7.13.	16
4.	Annexure-3- A true/photo copy of the petition Dt. 26.10.2013.	17-27
5.	<u>VAKALATNAMA.</u>	

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**(Civil Writ Jurisdiction)****C.W.J.C. No. _____/Of 2014****Saligram Yadav.Petitioner.****Versus****The State of Bihar & Ors.Respondents.****Sub:- Land Ceiling Act.****SYNOPSIS**

That this writ application is being filed by the petitioner in the nature of mandamus for the direction to the Respondent no. 2 to register the restoration petition as a Miscellaneous Case in Original Ceiling Appeal no. 01/2009 and passed the appropriate order. Further be pleased to quash the order dated 28.3.2011 passed by the Learned Court of Collector Madhepura by which order dropped the proceeding of the Ceiling Appeal no. 02/2009.

2002 _____ Private Respondents filed a Preemption case no. 06/2002-03 before Learned Deputy Collector Land Revenue Madhepura.

8.8.2008 Learned D.C.L.R. allow the case of the respondents.

2009 Petitioner filed appeal before the Learned Collector 02/2009.

28.3.2011 Learned Collector dropped the Ceiling Appeal.

22.7.2013 Petitioner filed the information slip for the position of the case.

26.10.2013 Petitioner filed the restoration petition.

HENCE THIS WRIT APPLICATION.

Filed through:
Dimesh Adveer.
Adveer.
BeR00318

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA.

(Civil Writ Jurisdiction)

C.W.J.C.No. _____ / Of 2014

In the matter of an application Under
Article 226 of the Constitution of
India;

And.

In the matter of:

Saligram Yadav Son of Late Kamleshwari Yadav Resident of
Village- Moura Tola ^{Ram} Nagar, P.S. Singeshwar, District-
Madhepura.

..... Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

2. Collector Madhepura.

2

3. Deputy Collector, Land Revenue Madhepura.

4. Shambhu Yadav

5. Khokha Yadav

6. Rajendra Kumar

Respondent No. 4 to 6 are S/O Late Suresh Prasad Yadav.

7. Ranjeet Kumar Son of late Shailendra Yadav .

Respondent no 4 to 7 are residents of Village- Moura tola
Ram Nagar, P.S. Singeshwar, District- Madhepura.

8. Brajesh Kumar.

9. Vivek Kumar.

Both are Son of late Deep Narayan Yadav Residents of
Village- Moura Tola, Ram nagar, P.S. Singeshwar, District-
Madhepura.

..... Respondents.

To,

The Hon'ble Ms. Justice Rekha. M. Doshit, the Chief Justice of the High Court of Judicature at Patna and her companion justices of the said Hon'ble Court.

The humble petition on behalf of the above named Petitioner:

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:-

1-That this Writ application is being filed by the petitioner in the nature of mandamus for the direction to the Respondent no.2 to register the restoration petition as a Miscellaneous Case in Original Ceiling appeal no. 01/2009 and passed the appropriate order. Further be pleased to quash the order dated 28.3.2011 passed by the Learned Court of Collector Madhepura by which order dropped the proceeding of the Ceiling Appeal no. 02/2009.

2. That ~~in~~ this Writ application is being filed on the following

GROUND:-

- i.) For that the order passed by the learned Collector is completely arbitrary in nature.

- ii.) For that to ~~not~~ registered the restoration petition as a Miscellaneous Case is out and out arbitrary nature.
- iii.) For that it is violation of the Principle of natural justice.
- iv.) For that there is no intentional fault or latches on the part of the petitioner.
- v.) For that if this restoration petition has not been ~~registered~~ then the petitioner suffer irreparable loss.
- vi.) For that the order passed by the respondent is out of Jurisdiction.
- vii.) For that the respondent passed the order without considering the merit of the case.
- viii.) For that petitioner has not submitted the relevant paper because on that period he was not available in the village.
- ix.) For that due to relevant paper which was handed over to the Advocate destroyed in the ^{heavy} flood, ^{of koshi river} thus due to unavoidable circumstances his counsel has not submitted the relevant paper before the appellate court.
- x.) For that due to unavoidable circumstances petitioner has not submitted the relevant paper.

xi.) For that any other ground/grounds urged at the time of arguments.

3. That the petitioner is citizen of India and resident of Bihar thus he is entitle to invoke the writ Jurisdiction of this Hon'ble High Court.

4. That the Private respondents filed a land ceiling case before the Learned Deputy Collector Land Revenue Madhepura vide Pre-emption Case no. 06/2002-2003 against the petitioner Under Sections 16 (3) of the Land Ceiling Act.

5. That the claim of the respondent/Applicant is that he is the co- sharer of the petitioner and in the boundary of disputed land their land was also amalgamated. The said land purchased by him from the registered sale deed on 12.4.1969 vide deed no. 3892/69, 11308/78 dt. 9.11.1997 and deed no. 5840/2001, dt. 22.6.2001. All three deeds are the under the boundary of disputed land.

6. That after hearing the parties the Learned D.C.L.R. vide order dt. 08.03:2008 allow the case of the respondent/ applicant.

7. That the petitioner filed an appeal against the order of the learned D.C.L.R. vide Ceiling Appeal no. 01/2009.
8. That the Ceiling appeal no. 01/09 put up before the learned Collector, Madhepura on 2.6.2009.
9. That certainly the learned Collector Madhepura given three-four opportunity for filing of the relevant document.
10. That the petitioner has not filed the relevant documents with in the fixed time.
11. That the Learned Collector vide order dt. 28.3.2011 dropped the case.

A photo copy of the entire order sheet of Ceiling of Appeal no. 01/2009 is being annexed and marked as Annexure-1 to this petition.

12. That the petitioner has not submitted the relevant documents at the time fixed by the court due to unavoidable circumstances.

13. That the petitioner submits that he handed over the relevant papers to the counsel for the petitioner in course of the case pending before the Learned Deputy Collector Land Revenue Madhepura.

14. That the heavy flood came in the Koshi River and due to that house of the petitioner submerged in the river.

15. That the petitioner completely became the hand to mouth and there is no source of their livelihood.

16. That after filing of the appeal no. 01/2009 petitioner along with family went to Hariyana for their livelihood and where he working as a laborer.

17. That due to lack of the proper privy by the counsel of the petitioner the learned court dropped the case/proceeding.

18. That there is no intentional fault or latches on the part of the petitioner and counsel for the petitioner.

19. That the petitioner is an illiterate man and ~~not~~ ^{not} ~~can~~ understand the proceeding ^{and urgency} ~~any injury~~ of the case.

20. That the counsel for the petitioner have no intentional fault because most of the relevant papers destroyed in the horrible flood.

21. That when the petitioner returned from Hariyana and contacted with the counsel then he got knowledge that his counsel left the case.

22. That thereafter petitioner filed an application for information relating to appeal no. 01/2009 and then he has got knowledge that vide order dated 28.3.2011 case dropped by the learned court of Collector Madhepura.

A true photo copy of the Information application dated 22.7.13 is being annexed and marked as Annexure-2 to this petition.

23 That after knowledge of the case petitioner meet with his counsel and on his advice filed a restoration petition along with limitation petition.

A photo copy of the petition dt. 26.10.2013 is being annexed and marked as Annexure-3 to this petition.

24. That the Learned Collector has not registered this restoration petition as a Miscellaneous case.

25. That the counsel for the petitioner mentioning before the Learned Collector (in court proceeding) that kindly registered the restoration petition as a Miscellaneous case and passed the appropriate order but the learned Collector refuse to allow the prayer to registered the restoration petition as a Miscellaneous case.

26. That the Learned Collector also directed the office to not received this typed of petition.

27. That the act of the learned Collector to not registered the restoration petition as a Miscellaneous case of Ceiling appeal no. 01/2009 is out and out arbitrary in nature.

28. That it is complete violation of the principle of natural justice.

29. That the order passed by the Learned Collector is out of Jurisdiction.

30. That the petitioner not submitted the relevant documents due to the unavoidable circumstances.

31. That if this restoration petition has not been ^{registered} ~~allowed~~ then the petitioner suffer irreparable loss.

32. That there is no alternative and efficacious remedy save and except to move before this Hon'ble Court for the same relief.

33. That the petitioner has not earlier filed any Writ petition for the same relief.

It is, therefore, prayed that Your Lordships may graciously be pleased to admit this petition Issue notice to the respondent as to why prayer prayed in Para-1 of the petition may not be allowed and after hearing all the parties allow the prayer which is prayed in Para-1 of the petition.

And/Or

Pass such other order/orders as Your Lordships may deem fit and proper as the facts of the case.

And for this the Petitioner shall ever pray.

12.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Saligram Yadav Aged about 54.. Yrs Son of Late Kamleshwari Yadav Resident of Village- Moura Tola Nagar, P.S. Singeshwar, District- Madhepura, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:

1-That I am Petitioner in this instant case and as such am well acquainted with the facts and circumstances of this case.

2-That the contents of this application which have been read over and explained to me in Hindi which I have fully understood the same.

3-That the Statements made in paragraph nos. 3,5,10,12 to 16, 18 to 21,24,26 to 33 are true to my knowledge and those made in paragraphs nos 4,6 to 9,11, 22,23,25 are true to my information derived from the records of the case and the rest are by way of submissions to this Hon'ble Court.

4. That the Annexures are true/typed/photo copies of their respective originals.



6/11/14

Annex 1

21/11/14

13

अनुसूची-१४-फारम सं०- ५६२।

न्यायालय खामाहती, मधेपुरा

आदेश-पत्रक

(देखें अधिलेख हस्तक्ष, १९४१ का नियम १२९)

आदेश-पत्रक- ता० से तक

जिला सं० सन् १९

केस का प्रकार एरिया सिद्धिंग वाद सं० ०२/०९

शास्त्रीग्राम यादव
बनाम
शम्भू यादव एवं अन्य

आदेश की क्रम. संख्या कोष तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पत्र की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख-सहित
1	2	3

02.6.09

Put up before the learned
Collector, Madhepura on 06.7.09
Sdr
of Legal Magistrate
Madhepura.

06/07/09

आवेदक की ओर से समय
आवेदन प्राप्त। सुनवाई के
समय अनुपस्थित। अधिलेख
दिनांक- 3/8/09 को रखें।

समिति,
खामाहती,

03/08/09

आवेदक की शजरी अप्राप्त। अगली
तिथि को वाद के अंगीकार के
बिन्दु पर सुनवाई हेतु रखें।
अधिलेख दिनांक 24/8/09 को
रखें।

समिति,
खामाहती,

24.8.09

आवेदक की ओर से समय
आवेदन के साथ शजरी प्राप्त।
दिनांक - 02.11.09 को रखें।

असक्त

02.11.09

आवेदक अनु०। दिनांक - 08.2.2010
को रखें।

असक्त



GOVT. OF BIHAR
REGISTRATION, EXCISE & PROMOTION DEPT.
BIMAR
COURT FEE

Authorization No. 2037

INDIA
174150
BIHAR
12.7.20

आदेश की क्रम संख्या कोष तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पत्र की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख-सहित
1	2	3
08.2.10	आवेदक की हजरी प्राप्त। दिनांक 05.04.10 को रखें।	
05.4.10	उप-सहायक आवेदक की हजरी प्राप्त। दिनांक- 10.5.10 को रखें।	
10.5.10	उप-सहायक आवेदक की हजरी प्राप्त। आवेदक के विज्ञान अधिवक्ता को बुला। अभिलेख का अवलोकन किया। अभिलेख में साक्ष्य स्वरूप प्रश्नगत जमीन के चौहद्दी रेखा, नक्शा, अमावसी का नकल, खतियान फासलापत्र प्रति उपलब्ध नहीं काया गया है, जिसके कारण यह स्पष्ट नहीं हो पा रहा है कि आवेदक प्रश्नगत भूमि का चौहद्दी रेखा अगली तिथि को चौहद्दी रेखा के संबंध में वांछित कागजात दाखिल करें। अभिलेख दिनांक 05/07/2010 को रखें। समाप्त	
05/07/2010	आवेदक अनुपस्थित। दिनांक 10/07/10 को प्राप्त आदेश अनुपासत में अपीलार्थी द्वारा वांछित कागजात दाखिल नहीं किया गया। अंतिम मौफा दिया जाता है। अगली तिथि को वांछित कागजात के साथ अनुपस्थित रहकर बहस करें ताकि वाद में अंगीकार की कार्रवाई की जा सके। अभिलेख दिनांक 13/09/2010 को रखें।	



समाप्त

15

अनुसूची १४-क्रमांक सं०-५६३

आदेश की क्रम. सं० और तारीख	आदेश और पदाधिकारी का हस्ताक्षर	आदेश पत्र की गई कार्रवाई के बारे में टिप्पणी, तारीख के साथ
1	2	3

13.9.10 आवेदक अंकुश दिनांक- 20.12.10 को रखें।

20/12/10 आवेदक अनुपस्थित। अभिलेख का अपसंकोचन किया। दिनांक 10/05/10 को प्राप्त आदेश के अनुपालन में सुनवाई केसमय किसी प्रकार का कागजात बाकी डार दाखिल नहीं किया गया है। अगली तिथि को बाकी यदि कागजात दाखिल नहीं करते हैं तो वाद को समाप्त करने की कार्रवाई की जाएगी।

अभिलेख दिनांक 28/03/2011 को रखें।

28/3/11

Ahsant Rao Choppal

महान्याय

समाप्त



[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
24/2/11

अनेक्चर-1

(1-c)

13 4 15

न्यायालय समाहर्ता, मधेपुरा
सरिया सिलिंग वाद सं० 01/09 शालीग्राम यादव
बनाम
शम्भु यादव एवं अन्य

2.6.09

Put up before the learned
Collector, Madhepura on 6.7.09.

sd/- Ill.

Magistrate, Madhepura.

- 6.7.01 आवेदक को ओर से समय आवेदन
प्राप्त । सुनवाई के समय आवेदक
अनुपस्थित । अभिलेख दिनांक
3.8.2009 को रखें ।
- 3.8.09 आवेदक को हाजरो अप्राप्त । अगली
तिथि को वाद के अंतोकरण के
दिन्दु पर सुनवाई हेतु रखें ।
अभिलेख दिनांक 24.8.09 को
रखें ।

समाहर्ता,

- 24.8.09 आवेदक को ओर से समय आवेदन
के साथ हाजरो प्राप्त ।
दिनांक 2.11.09 को रखें ।

उप समाप्त

- 2.11.09 आवेदक अनु० । दिनांक 8.2.2010 को रखें ।
- 8.2.10 आवेदक अनु० । दिनांक 5.4.2010
को रखें ।

उ० समाप्त

2.

5.4.2010 आवेदक को हाजरो । दिनांक
10.5.10 को रखें ।

उपसर्ग

10.5.10 आवेदक को हाजरो प्राप्त ।
आवेदक के विद्वान अधिवक्ता
को सुना । अभिलेख का
अवलोकन किया । अभिलेख में
साध्य स्वरूप पत्रनगत जमोन के
चौहददो रैयत नक्शा, जमाबन्दो का
नकल, खतियान कर सत्यापित प्रति
उपलब्ध नही कराया गया है, जिसके
कारण यह स्पष्ट नही हो पा रहा है
है कि आवेदक पत्रनगत भूमि का
चौहददो रैयत है।

अगली तिथि को चौहददो
रैयत के संबंध में वांछित कागजात
दाखिल करें । अभिलेख दिनांक
5.7.2010 को रखें।

ह0 अस्पष्ट

समाहर्ता

5.7.2010 आवेदक अनुपस्थित । अभिलेख का
अवलोकन किया । दिनांक 10.5.10
को पारित आदेश के अनुपालन में
अपीलाथी द्वारा वांछित कागजात
दाखिल नही किया गया है। अंतिम
मौका दिया जाता है। अगली तिथि
को वांछित कागजात के साथ
उपस्थित रहकर अंगीकरण
के बिन्दु पर बहस करें ।

20.12.10

आवेदक अनुपस्थित । अभियोग का अवलोकन किया । दिनांक 10.5.10 को पारित आदेश के अनुपालन में सुनवाई के समय क्लिप प्रकार

का कागजात वादो द्वारा दाखिल नहीं किया गया है। अगली तिथि को वादो यदि कागजात दाखिल नहीं करते हैं तो वाद को समाप्त करने को कारवाई को जायेगी ।

सुनवाई दि० 28/03/2011 को खर्च 1.

हउ अस्पष्ट

समाप्त

28.3.11

स्वामी
सुनवाई के ड्रॉपड


Absent Case dropped हउ अस्पष्ट

Annex-2

Schedule XII-Form I

GOVT. OF BIHAR
REGISTRATION & INFORMATION DEPT.
MADEPURA COURT, MADEPURA

Form No. 10, 2037



STAMP
06/01/09

BIHAR JUDICIAL
228530

APPLICATION FOR INFORMATION

Number and Date	Name and residence of applicant	Nature of the Information required	Date for which the information is to be made available	Signature of officer receiving the application	Remarks
श्री भुवनेश्वर कुमार सिंह जिला मुख्यालय सेक्टर मधेपुरा	आवासीय भू- श्रीभाग जिला मुख्यालय सिलिंगवादि लो. 1/109 शालीग्राम यादव	जानना चाहिए सिलिंगवादि लो. 1/109 का आवक कागजात क्या है उनकी जानकारी दी जाए।	आवासीय स्थानों के जिरा सिलिंगवादि लो. 1/109 शालीग्राम यादव वगैरह यादव के अन्य जिरा 28/03/2011 को उपरोक्त को दिया गया है।	[Signature]	[Remarks]

Received form of Date. 200

Application No. -
of information which will be read on
Clerk in Charge

[Handwritten signatures and dates]

अनेकधर -2

(1.c) (16)

1- श्री मुकेश कुमार सिंह
अधिवक्ता
जिला अधिवक्ता संघ, मधेपुरा ।

2- न्यायालय में,
श्रीमान् जिला समाहर्ता
सिलिंग वाद सं० 1/09
शालोग्राम यादव
बनाम
शम्भू यादव वगैरे-- प्रतिवादी

महाराज,

मैं जानना चाहता हूँ कि सिलिंग वाद सं० 1/09
का अद्यतन स्थिति क्या है इसको जानकारी दी जाए ।
न्यायालय समाहर्ता एवं जिला द्या सरिया सिलिंग वाद सं० 01/200
शालोग्राम यादव बनाम शम्भुज यादव एवं अन्य दिनांक 28.3.2011 को
ड्रॉपड कर दिया गया है।

हउ अस्पष्ट

12.8.13

समाहर्ता पेशकार

Annexure-3

17

In

The Court of Collector,

Madhepura.

Ceiling Appeal No. 01/2009

Shaligram Yadav Son of late R Kamleshwar Yadav

Resident of Village Moura tola Ram Nagar, P.S. Anchal
Singheshwar, District- Madhepura. Appellant

Versus

1. Shambhu Yadav 1
2. Khokha Yadav 1 All S/O late Suresh Pd. Yadav
3. Rajendra Kumar 1
4. Ranjeet Kumar S/O Late Shalendra Yadav 1

All of Village- Moura tola Ram Nagar, P.S. Singheshwar, District- Madhepura.

..... Respondent Ist. Party

And

1. Brajesh Kumar Son of late Deep Narain Yadav
2. Vivek Kumar

of Village Moura tola Ram Nagar, P.S. Singheshwar
District- Madhepura. Respondent 2nd. party.

Ref:- Petition under order 9 Rule 4 of C.P.C. for
restoration with respect of above numbered
case.

18

Humble petition for restoration of
Ceiling appeal vide appeal no. 01/09
on behalf of appellant Shaligram

Yadav Most Respectfully

~~Shri~~ submits :-

2.

1. That, the above named appellant filed an appeal under the provision of section 30 of the land ceiling Act against the impugned order passed by the learned D.C.L.D. Madhepura dt. 8.3.2009 before the then learned Collector, Madhepura on 2.6.2009.

It is further submitted that just on next date that is on 16.7.09 due to old age & ill health the advocate of the appellant appeared a bit late and in the mean time the aforesaid appeal passed on.

2. That, in the Year 2008 a horrible flood came and due to low land the Ekaka of appellant was badly effected and due to this this reason, the appellant went out side of the State only because the appellant is eternly poor and due to his poverty no proper paurvi had been made.

3 That, on 10.5.10 proper paurvi had been made on behalf appellant through his Advocate and during

perusal and hence on behalf of appellant his Advocate could not submit.

4. That, due to horrible flood the file of the case was lost and hence there was no other alternative for the

3.

advocate of the appellant save & concept to leave the proper party and the result is that the case of this appellant was dropped on 28.8.11.

5. That, information slip filed on 22.7.013 to get correct position of case and got the correct information on 12.8.13 and after that filed petition for certified copy on 16.8.13 which had been supplied 10.10.13.

6. That, the Advocate of this appellant was out of Madhepura due to Durga Puja Holiday and after that the restoration petition had been prepared and filed before your honour.

7. That, a separate petition for condation U/S 5 of the Limitation Act is also being filed along with restoration petition supported by an affidavit and condone the delay.

It is therefore prayed that Your honour may graciously be pleased to

4.

to set a side the dismissal as/ide
dated 28.3.2011 after condoning
the delay.

And for this the appellant shall
ever pray.

Appellant.

L.T.I. Shaligram Yadav

Verification

through Advocate.

I, Shaligram Yadav do hereby solemnly
affirm and declare that the contents of this restoration
petition from para first to last has been explained in
Hindi to me which are correct to the best of my knowledge
and belief.

Appellant.

L.T.I. Shaligram Yadav.

Advocate

In

The Court of Collector,

Madhepura.

Ceiling Appeal No. 01/2009.

Shaligram Yadav. Appellant

Versus

Shambhu Yadav and others. Respondents.

Humble petition filed on behalf of
the above named appellant under the
provision of the section 5 of the
Limitation Act Most Respectfully

submits :-

1. That, A land ceiling appeal has been filed vide appeal no. 01/2009 has been filed before the then learned Collector, which was dropped under the provisions of order 9 Rule 3 of the C.P.C. on 28.3.11.
2. That, against dismissal order this appellant filed

(24)

by an affidavit before your honour.

3. That this appellant is contromly poor and hard labourer purchase a peace of land under the provision of Section 16(3) of the land ceiling Act but tregedy is this the then learned D.C.L.R. Madhepura passed final order in favour of the Opposite party which was

6.

neither legal nor proper.

4. That, there was harrable flood come in the
elaka of the appellant was basely effected and due to
this all the valuable documents has been lost in
that period.

5. That, after his return from Punjab approached with
his lawyer who did not answered satisfactory naturally
there was no alternative to save and except filed
information slip on 22.7.13 for correct position of
the case which has been supplied on 12.8.13 after lapse
of 21 days and just after receiving the information
slip the appellant filed petition for C.C. of the
order on 16.8.13 which has been supplied on 10.10.13
after lapse of 55 days.

6. That this period of 76 days may kindly be
excluded under the provision of Section 4 and 12 of the
Limitation Act.

to Durgapuja holiday and after holiday the advocate of
the appellant prepared the restoration petition and
this period may kindly be excluded under section 3 of
the Limitation Act.

7.

8. That, the appellant knowing had not committed any wrong and this restoration petition is being filed from the date of knowledge.

It is therefore prayed that Your honour may graciously be pleased to restore the same after condon the delay for which the Appellant shall ever pray.

Appellant.

through

Verification.

Advocate,

1. Shaligram Yadav appellant do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of this condonation petition are true & correct to best of my knowledge and belief. Verified and L.T.I. on it.

Deponents.

L.T.I. Shaligram Yadav