

Sc.5
2/8/13

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

(CIVIL WRIT JURISDICTION)

C.W.J.C.No. _____ of 2013.

Unesh Chandra Roy, ----- Petitioner,

Versus

The state of Bihar and others, ----- Respondents.

subject; Contract Matter.

I n d e x.

<u>Sl.No.</u>	<u>Particulars.</u>	<u>Pages.</u>
1.	Synopsis of the Case.	
2.	An Application with Affidavit.	1 to 13.
3.	Annexure-1; A photostat copy of the Order dated 4.11.09.	14 to 15
4.	Annexure-2; A photostat Copy of Representation dated 12.12.09.	16 to 17
5.	Annexure-3; A photostat copy of Letter dated 18.3.10.	18
6.	Annexure-4; A photostat Copy of Letter dated 18.3.10.	19
7.	Annexure-5; A photostat Copy of Letter dated 27.01.10.	20
8.	V e k a l e t n a m a .	

2.

Relevant Facts with Date: -

6.7.73- petitioner was initially appointed as Jan
sewak(V.L.W.).

11.8.86-petitioner got First Time Bound Promotion, from
11.8.86.

9.8.99-petitioner got A.C.P. from 9.8.99.

31.1.04-petitioner was superannuated.

4.11.09- Petitioner filed C.W.D.C.No.14463/09, which
Annexure-1.

was disposed of on 4.11.09 with direction.

12.12.09- Petitioner filed representation.
Annexure-2.

28.27.01.10- The B.D.O.,Bihariganj addressed a Letter
Annexure-5.

to D.D.C.,Machepura.

18.3.10- B.D.O. sent a letter to the D.D.C. for
Annexure-3.

payment of Rs.1,32,627/- to petitioner.

18.3.10- B.D.O. reiterated the for the payment
Annexure-4.

of Rs.1,32,627/-to petitioner and also

issued a Cheque in this regard.

Hence, this Writ petition.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PAINA

(CIVIL WRIT JURISDICTION)

C.W.J.C.No. _____ of 2013.

Unesh Chandra Roy, _____ petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar and others, _____ Respondents.

s y n o p s i s.

Relief:- For directing/commanding the respondents for payment of Rs.1,32,627/- under the Scheme No.2/03-04, 3/03-04, 4/03-04, 5/03-04, 6/03-04, 7/03-04, 8/03-04 of Sanpurna Gramin Rojgar Yojana(S.G.R.Y.), wherein the petitioner had spent his own money out of the amount which had been advanced to him for the work mentioned aforesaid by the Mukhia, Pararia Gram Panchayat and also Rs.30,500/- which had been spent by the petitioner from his own pocket out of the advance amount Rs.47,500/- by the U.P.O., Bihariganj for the completion of Bihariganj Block Scheme No.01/03-04 i.e. Tenth Financial Scheme.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

(CIVIL WRIT JURISDICTION)

C.● W.J.C.No. _____ of 2013.

In the matter of

an application Under Article 226

of the Constitution of India;

A n d

In the matter of;

Unesh Chandra Roy, son of late Ran Baran Roy,

Resident of Village-Jaunha, P.O.-Khatkhat, District-

Bhagalpur.

----- Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Rural Development Department,
Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Madhepura.

2.

4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Madhepura.
5. The Block Development Officer, Bihariganj Block,
P.S. - Bihariganj, District - Madhepura.
6. The Mulhia, Gram, Sakshayatoj, Bararia, P.S. - Bihariganj,
District - Madhepura.

----- Respondents.

To,

The Hon'ble Ms. Justice Rekha M. Doshit, the Chief
Justice of the High Court of Judicature at Patna and
Her Companion Justices of the said Hon'ble Court.

The humble petition on behalf
of the above named petitioner.

Most Respectfully sheweth:-

1. That the present writ petition is being
filed before this Hon'ble Court on behalf of the
petitioner for issuance of an appropriate writ, directing/
commanding the respondents for the payment of Rs. 1,32,627/-
under the scheme No. 2/03-04, 3/03-04, 4/03-04, 5/03-04,

3.

6/03-04, 7/03-04, 8/03-04 of Saapurna Gramin Rojgar Yojana (S.O.R.Y.) wherein the petitioner had spent his own money out of the amount which had been advanced to him for the work mentioned aforesaid by the Mukhia Pararia Gram Panchayat and also Rs. 30,500/- which had been spent by the petitioner from his own pocket out of the advance amount Rs. 47,500/- by the B.D.O., Bihariganj for the completion of Bihariganj Block scheme No. 01/03-04 i.e. Tenth Financial Scheme.

2. That the petitioner is a citizen of India. He is residing in the District of Bhagalpur which falls within the territorial jurisdiction of the State of Bihar.

3. That the petitioner was initially appointed on 6.7.73 as a Jan Sewak (V.L.S.) in Block Office, Alan Nagar in the District-Bhagalpur by District Magistrate, Bhagalpur.

4. That after his joining as a Jan Sewak, the petitioner had been transferred from Alan Najar Block Office to other Block Office in the Machhapura District.

5. That the petitioner states that he has got first Time Bound promotion from 11.8.86 and A.C.P. from 9.8.1999.

6. That after serving the State of Bihar with full satisfaction to his superior authorities, the petitioner superannuated on 31.1.2004 from Pararia Gram Panchayat as a Panchayat secretary which falls within the jurisdiction of Silerigunj Block in the District of Machhapura, and till his superannuation he was never subjected to any kind of departmental proceeding.

7. That the petitioner had not got his retiral dues and thereafter he filed a writ application i.e. C.W.J.C.No.14483/09 before this Hon'ble Court and

3.

this Hon'ble Court by order dated 4.11.09 disposed of the said writ petition, directing interalia that "in case such representation is filed by the petitioner within a period of 8 weeks from today along with the certified copy of the present order and also the copy of the relevant documents in support of the claim, the above respondents shall consider the grievances as raised in the representation in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within the period of four months on receipt of such representation so that the aforesaid grievances could be settled without further delay.

A photostat copy of the order dated 4.11.09 is attached herewith and marked as Annexure-1 to this writ petition.

8. That obediently, in pursuance of the order passed by this Hon'ble Court (Annexure-1) the petitioner

6.

filed a representation/application before D.M., Madhepura on 12.12.09 explaining the details of his grievance including the present grievance as well as the retiral dues.

A photostat copy of Representation dated 12.12.09 is attached herewith and marked as Annexure-2 to this writ petition.

9. That out of the grievances put forward by the petitioner in the said representation, all the retiral dues had been allowed to pay the petitioner ^{and} out of the retiral dues the petitioner had been allowed to pay Rs. 14,450/- which had been paid by the petitioner from his pocket out of the advance money amounting to Rs. 27,000/- in completion of Bih-riganj Block Scheme No. 01/03-04 i.e. under the scheme of prime Minister Village Development scheme.

7.

10. That it may be stated that in the said representation the concern authority did not consider the Item No.3 and Item No.4. The Item No.3 relates to the Scheme of S.G.R.Y. of the year 2003-04 which contain the eight schemes thereunder. Besides Scheme No.1/03-04 in completion of the scheme No.2/03-04 to 8/03-04 the amount, whatsoever, had been spent by the petitioner from his own pocket ^{out of} ~~besides~~ the advance amount which comes to Rs.1,32,627/- had not been paid to the petitioner. Secondly in Bihariganj Block Scheme No.1/03-04 which relates to the Tenth Financial Scheme, wherein Rs.47,500/- had been advanced out of the total amount of Rs. 78,000/- for the completion of the said scheme. The said scheme had been completed at the instance of the petitioner who had spent Rs.30,500/- but the said total amount Rs.78,000/- had not been paid ^{against his loan} ~~but~~ only Rs.47,500/- had ~~only~~ been paid as advance amount out of the said total

8.

amount ~~of~~ of Rs. 78,000/- under the scheme.

11. That it may be stated that vide Letter No. 233-2 dated 18.3.10 the B.D.O., Bihariganj sent a letter to the D.D.C., Madhepura for the payment of Rs. 1,32,627/- in favour of the petitioner which had been spent by the petitioner out of the total amount Rs. 2,92,627/- under S.G.R.Y. scheme of the year 2003-04.

A Photostat Copy of Letter dated 18.3.10 is attached herewith and marked as Annexure-3 to this writ petition.

12. That it may be stated further vide Letter dated 18.3.10 the B.D.O., Bihariganj reiterated the said fact for the payment of the said amount i.e. Rs. ~~1,32,627~~ 1,32,627/- and also issued a Cheque in this regard and ^{as further mentioned above in that} the entire responsibility would be upon ^{his} your head.

9.

A photostat Copy of Letter dated 18.3.10
is attached herewith and marked as
Annexure-4 to this writ petition.

13. That it may be stated that beside the
aforesaid there was a scheme being Scheme No.01/03-04
i.e. Tenth Financial Commission under Bihariganj Block.
The said scheme had been estimated as Rs.78,000/-
and out of the said total amount, only Rs.47,500/-
had been made advance, for the completion of the
said Scheme. Although, the said Scheme was completed
within the stipulated period and the petitioner spent
Rs.30,500/- from his own pocket but the said amount
till the date, has not been paid to the petitioner.

14. That it may be stated that the petitioner
on the basis of the Order, passed by this Hon'ble Court
had filed a representation before the Collector,
Madhepura (Annexure-2) which includes the said fact

10.

in Item No.4 therein.

15. That on the basis of the said representation, the B.D.O., Bihariganj addressed a Letter to the D.D.C., Madhepura dated 27.01.10 and has clearly stated therein that under Scheme No.01/02-03 out of Rs. 78,000/-only Rs. 47,500/- had been paid as an advance but in spite of the work has been completed Rs.30,500/- which had been spent by the petitioner from his own pocket, has not been paid to the petitioner.

A photostat Copy of Letter dated 27.01.10 is attached herewith and marked as Annexure-5 to this writ petition.

16. That in spite of the several requests had been made by the petitioner but the aforesaid amount which is payable to the petitioner, has not been paid. Further, it may be stated that the amount involved

11. .

in this case are the amount which had been spent by the petitioner from his own pocket and the authorities concerned have also admitted the fact that the said amount will be payable to the petitioner.

17. That the petitioner has got no other alternative and equally efficacious remedy to redress his grievance except to file the present writ petition.

18. That the petitioner has not moved earlier before this Hon'ble Court for the instant prayer.

It is, therefore, prayed that your Lordships

be pleased to admit this writ petition,

issue Rule NISI, calling upon the

respondents ^{to show case} as to why an appropriate writ

be not issued in granting relief as prayed

above in paragraph No.1 of this applicati-

on and in absence of an appropriate reply

by the respondents the said rule be made

12.

absolute or be pleased to pass such other
order or orders as your Lordships may deem
fit and proper in the facts and circumstances
of the case.

And for this, the petitioner shall ever pray.

A f f i d a v i t.

I, Umesh Chandra Roy, aged about 68 years, son of Late Ram Baran Roy, Resident of Village-Janunia, P.S.-Parbatta, District-Bhagalpur, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:-

1. That I am petitioner in this case and as such am well acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the case.
2. That the contents of this petition have been read over and explained to me in Hindi, which I have understood and the statements made in paragraph Nos. 2, 9, 10, 13, 16, 17 and 18 are true to my knowledge and those made in paragraph Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14 and 15 are based upon information, derived from the records of the case, which I believe to be true and rest are by way of submissions to this Hon'ble Court.
3. That the Annexures are True/photo copies of their respective originals.

ANN-1

(14)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CWJC No.14463 of 2009

OMESH CHENDRA ROY SON OF LATE RAM PARAN ROY, RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- JAMUNIA, P.S. PARBATTA, DISTRICT- BHAGALPUR.

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, BIHAR, PATNA
3. SECRETARY, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, BIHAR, PATNA
4. THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, MADHEPURA
5. THE DISTRICT TREASURY OFFICER, MADHEPURA
6. THE DISTRICT TREASURY OFFICER, BHAGALPUR
7. THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER, BIHARIGANJ, DISTRICT-
BIHARIGANJ, DISTRICT- MADHEPURA
8. THE MUKHIA GRAM PANCHAYAT PARAIYA, P.S. BIHARIGANJ,
DISTRICT- MADHEPURA.

2

04.11.2009

Heard learned counsels for the petitioner, the
State and the Accountant General.

The grievance of the petitioner is that upon his
superannuation as Panchayat Secretary, Paraiya Gram
Panchayat within Bihariganj Block on 31st January, 2004,
he has not received any retiral dues as indicated in
paragraph 1 of the writ application.

Learned counsel for the State submits that in
case a representation giving specific details of the claim
as also the copy of the relevant documents in support of
the claim is filed, the same shall be considered in
accordance with law without delay.

In the above circumstances, learned counsel for
the petitioner submits that for expeditious disposal of the
grievances, as raised in this writ application, the
petitioner proposes to file representation before the
respondent no. 4.

In this view of the matter, it is directed that in

RECEIVED
04.11.2009
5.30 PM
BENCH
COURT FEE
RECEIVED BY

15

case such representation is filed by the petitioner within a period of six weeks from today along with the certified copy of the present order as also the copy of the relevant documents in support of the claim, the above respondent shall consider the grievances as raised in the representation in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of four months on receipt of such representation so that the aforesaid grievances of the petitioner could be settled without further delay.

The writ application stands disposed of with the above observations/directions.

Manish/-

sd/
(Shailesh Kumar Sinha, J.)

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE PHOTO COPY

Manish
For Joint Registrar
Prima Facie Court
Established U.O. 76 dated 1 of 1977

Manish
6/11/09

921214V39

16

AWK-2

सेवा में,

जीमान जिला पदाधिकारी महोदय,
मधेपुरा ।

विषय :- सेवा निवृत्तताम सहित अन्य बकाय राशि का मुकतान हेतु अ्यावेदन :-

प्रति :- माननीय उच्च न्यायालय, बिहार, पटना द्वारा दिनांक- 04.11.2009 को सी0डब्ल्यू0के0सी0के0सी0-1483/09 उद्देश्य बन्दु राय, बनाम राज्य सरकार में, पारित आदेश ।

महोदय,

मैं, उद्देश्य बन्दु राय, सेवा निवृत्त जन्मेवक-सह- प्रवारी पंचायत- तालिका, ग्राम पंचायत, पड़रिया, प्रखण्ड- बिहारोमंड, जिला- मधेपुरा प्रांतगिक आदेश [जायापति संलग्न] की ओर श्वदीय का टयाम आकूट करते हुए निवेदन करता हूँ कि दिनांक- 31.01.2004 को सेवा निवृत्त होने के लगभग 8: वर्षों बाद भी मुझे निम्नरीक्त सेवानिवृत्तताम सहित अन्य बकाय राशि का मुकतान नहीं हुआ है :-

वाक्य
19-12-09

- 1. सेवा निवृत्तताम : [क] पेंशन/ अंपलीक पेंशन [ख] सेवा उपादान [ग्रेयुटी] [ग] अशेष अय्यहत अर्जित अकाशा का नगद समतुल्य राशि ।
- 2. जिला पदाधिकारी, मधेपुरा के आदेश क्रमांक- 527-2/स्था0, दिनांक- 06.07.2006 द्वारा प्रदत्त प्रथम एवं द्वितीय विस्तार उन्नयन के कारण देय अन्तर वेतन एवं माह परवरी, 2003 का कार्यरत वेतन का मुकतान ।
- 3. [क] ग्राम पंचायत पड़रिया [खण्ड-बिहारोमंड] में वर्ष-2003-04 में कुल 08 [अठ] विकास योजनाओं का कार्य हेतु मुझे मोंयतक- 1,50,000=00 [एक लाख साठ हजार] रुपये मात्र का अंश दिया गया। मापी-पुस्त के अनुसार सभी 08 [अठ] योजनाओं में मेरे द्वारा कृत कार्यों का मूल्यांकन कुल मोंग- 2,92,527=00 [दो लाख दोस्रांई हजार छे तीसरताईस] रुपये मात्र के लिए

17

किया गया इस प्रकार के राशि ₹ 2,92,627-1,50,000/- मो- 1,32,627-00 रुपये [मौजद लाख भारतीय हजार ए: ती सरताईत] रुपये मात्र का मुकतान मुझे अभी तक नहीं दिया गया है।

उल्लेखनीय है कि सीमित राशि मो 1,32,627-00/- रुपये [एक लाख भारतीय हजार ए: ती सरताईत] रुपये का मुकतान प्रकण्ड स्तर से करे हेतु युक्तिग, ग्राम-पंचायत, पड़रिया द्वारा सभी 08 [आठ] योजनाओं में कुल एवं मूल्यांकित कार्यो का निवहरण सहित सभी अभिलेख प्रकण्ड विकास पदाधिकारी, बिहारीमंज को पत्रिक-14, दिनांक 04-02-2008 ई0 द्वारा उपलब्ध कराया जा चुका है। अनुलग्नक संहित पत्र की छायाप्रति संलग्न।

04. [अ] बिहारीमंज प्रकण्ड के योजना सं-01/2003-04 व्यापारत की मूल्यांकित राशि- 78,000/- रुपये [अठ्ठहत्तर हजार] रुपये के विषय मुझे 47,500/- [सैंतालित हजार पाँच ती] रुपये मात्र अंतिम प्राप्त है। इस राशि मो- 30,500/- [तीस हजार पाँच ती] रुपये मात्र का मुकतान नहीं हुआ है।

[ब] बिहारीमंज प्रकण्ड के योजना सं-01/2003-04 प्रभावमंजी शोषोय योजना में मात्र 27,000/- [सत्ताईस हजार] रुपये अंतिम दिया गया है, जबकि मूल्यांकित राशि मो- 42,000/- [ब्यालीस हजार] [ए] रुपया 41,550-00 [इअतालीस हजार पाँच ती पच्चास] रुपये योजना-की स्वीकृत राशि है, शेष मो- 14,450/- [पाँच हजार चौर मो- पच्चास] [स] मुझे मुकतान नहीं हुआ है।

मैं एक अखबरेत मीमो सेवा निवृत्त [31,01,94] कार्यकारी हूँ एवं मेरे के अभाव में मैं अपने पारिवारिक दायित्वों की पूर्ति नहीं कर पा रहा हूँ।

अतः प्रार्थना है कि माननीय उच्च न्यायालय के न्यायाधीश के अनुपातन में मुझे सभी सीमित देय राशि का मुकतान यथाशीघ्र सुनिश्चित करने की कृपा की जाय। इसके तिर मैं आभार का अभारी रहूँगा।

अनुलग्नक: यथोक्त कृप पन्ने-1

प्रार्थी
उमेश चन्द्र

[उमेशचंद्र राय] सं- निवासेवक,
पुलगाँ, बिहारीमंज, [मधेपुरा]
दिनांक- 12/12/2009

प्रतिनिधि: अनुलग्नक की प्रतियाँ पन्ने संहित प्रकंड विकास-पदाधिकारी, बिहारीमंज को आवक करवाई हेतु मादर समर्पित।

[उमेशचंद्र राय]
सं- निवासेवक
प्रकंड कार्यालय, बिहारीमंज

18-3-10

ANN-3

18

प्राचीन इन्डियन पालन
के सम्बन्धित

[The following text is extremely faint and illegible due to heavy noise and low contrast in the scan. It appears to be a list or a series of entries.]

विशेष ध्यान
 (18-3)
 प्राचीन इन्डियन पालन
 के सम्बन्धित

एनेक्सपर - 3

TC

पत्रांक- 233-2 / दिनांक- 18-3-10

प्रो.क.

मुख्य विकास पदाधिकारी,

पिठारी गंज ।

सेवा में,

उप विकास आयुक्त,

मधेपुरा ।

विषय:- सम्पूर्ण ग्रामीण रोजगार योजना बर्षाभर अंतर्गत अंतिम सुशान
हेतु अवरोध राशि विमुक्त करने के संबंध में ।

प्रसंग:- इस कार्यालय के पत्रांक- 71-2, दिनांक- 27-0

माननीय उच्च
न्यायालय से
संबंधित

महोदय,

उपर्युक्त विषयक प्रारंभिक पत्र के संदर्भ में सादर सूचित

करना है कि श्री उमेश चन्द्र राय सेवा निवृत्त (अस्पष्ट) सह पंचायत

सचिव पदरिषा द्वारा दि. 03-04 में पदरिषा पंचायत

में सम्पूर्ण ग्रामीण रोजगार योजना अंतर्गत कुल 08 आठ योजना

संचालित की गयी थी । मारी गुरुत अनुसार उनके द्वारा कुल मो०-

292627 =00 मद का कार्य किया गया था । इनके द्वारा की गयी

अंश 160000 =00 के समापन के पश्चात् इनको मो० 13267 =00

मुहैया है । सुविधा ग्राम- पंचायत पदरिषा द्वारा राशि को (अस्पष्ट)

की गयी है । सम्पूर्ण ग्रामीण रोजगार बन्द हो जाने के कारण इन्हें

अवशेष राशि का भुगतान नहीं हो पाया था । इसके द्वारा

माननीय उच्च न्यायालय में दापर सी० डब्लू० जे० सी० नं०-

14463/09 में पारित आदेश के आलोक में जी राय के सभी

बकाये दावे का भुगतान हेतु समय-सीमा निर्धारित किया गया

है जो मार्च -09 में समाप्त होने ~~करा~~ जा रहा है ।

अतः अनुरोध है कि पी० नं० - 152627 एक लाख-

बत्तीस हजार छः सौ पैंतीस ~~रुपये~~ मात्र विमुक्त करने की कृपा

की जाय । ताकि जी राय को भुगतान कर माननीय उच्च न्यायालय

को अनुपालन भेजा जा सके ।

विकास समाज,

20/- अफसट

18-5-10

प्रमुख विकास प्रताधिकारी,

बिहारी नं० ।



प्रेषक: - ग्रामरूढ़ विकास पञ्जीयकारी

जिंदगी गंग। ANK-4

सेवा में

19

सुश्रीमान
ग्रामरूढ़ पञ्जीयकारी

विषय:-

सम्पूर्ण ग्रामीण संप्रदायगत सुश्रीमान समाजों
में सैनिकीकरण हेतु ग्रामीण उन्नत की योजना अंतर्गत
पौषता बढ़ाना का एक निष्पत्ति के साथ सम्पन्न
कार्य के सफलत्व में।

ग्रामीण सुरु न्यायलय से
संपर्कस्थिति
धरम सिंह

पत्रांक: इस कार्यालय के पत्रांक 63-1 दिनांक 25-1-10 एवं
570 दिनांक 19-05-08

महाराज

उपर्युक्त विषयक आंतरिक पत्र के सन्दर्भ में सूचित
करना है कि आपके द्वारा ही उद्योग सुरु ग्राम सेवा निष्ठ प्रदर्शन
जनकालीन फैलावत सचिव पञ्जीयकारी द्वारा कार्यनिष्ठ पौषता में का
में सैनिकीकरण हेतु ग्रामीण उन्नत की योजना अंतर्गत एक निष्पत्ति
पत्रों की प्रतीति के बाद ही आपके द्वारा सम्पन्न नहीं किया
गया है। अतः ही ग्राम का सेवा निष्ठ निष्पत्ति लाभ
जनितकर करने के फलस्वरूप आपके द्वारा ग्रामीण सुरु न्यायलय
में ही सुरु प्रतीति 15/11/08-09 द्वारा किया गया है।
आपके द्वारा अंतर्गत प्रदर्शन नहीं प्रमाणों के फलस्वरूप
पत्रों से ही ही विस्तृत नहीं किया गया है।
मैं ही सुश्रीमान से आपके अंतर्गत

किया जाना है कि यदि ही दिनांक के करदा आपके द्वारा ही -
उन्नत की योजना अंतर्गत एक निष्पत्ति प्रमाणों से प्रमाण नहीं काज्या
जाता है तो उसके साथ ही प्रमाण नहीं आपके ही ही।

विस्तार भाषण

उ -

ग्रामरूढ़ विकास पञ्जीयकारी
जिंदगी गंग।

पत्रांक 231-1 दिनांक 18-0-10

सुश्रीमान सचिव पञ्जीयकारी को सुपार्षद एवं सुरुपञ्जीयकारी
सूचित।

ग्रामरूढ़ विकास पञ्जीयकारी
जिंदगी गंग।

रहने के फलस्वरूप इनके द्वारा मातृनीय उत्तर न्यायालय में
सीओडी नं०- 14463/08-09 दाखल किया गया है ।
आपके द्वारा वर्णित कागजात नहीं जमा करने के फलस्वरूप जिला
से राशि विमुक्त नहीं किया गया है ।

अतः अंतिम रूप से आपको सूचित किया जाता है
कि यदि दो दिनों के अन्दर आपके द्वारा मातृनीय पुरत की छापा-
प्राप्ति, पेंक सिस्म कार्यालय में जमा नहीं कराकर करवाया जाता
है तो इसकी सारी जबाबदेही आपकी होगी ।

विश्वनाथभाऊ
EO/- अस्पष्ट
प्रखण्ड विकास पदा
बिहारी गंज ।

कार्यांक- 2, 31-2. दिनांक- 18-3-10

प्रतिक्रिया:-संपादन सचिव का रिपॉर्ट को सुवनाथ रय
अनुपालनाथ प्रेषित ।

EO/- अस्पष्ट

18-3-10

बिहारी गंज ।

एन्वयटर - 5

TC

पत्रांक-

प्रेषक,

ग्रुण्ड विकास वसाधनारी,

बिहारी-गंज ।

सेवा में,

उप विकास आयुक्त,

मधेपुरा ।

बिहारी गंज, दिनांक - 27-1-10

विषय:- दशम वित्त आयोग योजना अन्तर्गत योजना के अंतीमोकरण हेतु राशि उपलब्ध कराने के संबंध में ।

निर्देश:- माननीय उपायुक्त विहार प्रान्त के सी०डब्लू० प्रोसी० 14463/2009 में पारित आदेश ।

सहाय्य,

उपर्युक्त विषय के संबंध में साक्षर सूचित करना है

कि श्री अमेश चन्द्र राय सेवा निवृत्त जसेक -सह-संवापत सचिव

पड़ारपा के द्वारा दशम वित्त आयोग अन्तर्गत संवापतों में शुद्ध पेय

जल हेतु वसाकल लगेवाया गया था । योजना सं०- 01/2002-03

में प्राप्तशुद्ध राशि मो० 78000=00 ₹ अहत्तर हजार ₹ के

विशुद्ध अधिवर्ती को मो० 47500=00 ₹ अग्रिम मुगतान किया

गया था । योजना का मापी पुस्तक सहायक अभियन्ता द्वारा

संघारित है तथा लाभान्वितों का प्राप्त रतीद एवं वारिष्ठ

अग्रिम व अभिलेख के साथ संलग्न है । राशि के अभाव में
पोजना का अन्तर्नीकरण लम्बित है, फलस्वरूप इन्हें सेवान्ता लाभ
का अंतिम भुगतान नहीं किया जा सकता है । माननीय उच्च
न्यायालय के आदेश नम्बर भारत सी०डी० न्यु०जे० सी० नं०- 14463/
2009 में पारित आदेश के आलोक में भी राशि सभी प्रकार के
बकाया भुगतान समय- सीमा के अन्दर कर के अदालत प्रतिवेदन
भेजा जाता है । अतः न्यु० 30500=30 हतीस हजार पाँच सौ रु
रुपये मात्र अभिलेख राशि वितरित करने की कृपा की जाय, ताकि
पोजना का अन्तर्नीकरण कर लीखागद्वी भी राशि को सभी प्रकार
का बकाया भुगतान कर माननीय उच्च न्यायालय को अदालत
भेजी जा सके ।

विकास सहायक,

EO/- अरवस्त,

27-3-10

प्रमुख विकास परियोजनापदा०

बिहार गैर ।