

80199
G22
12/11/11

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

(Miscellaneous Judicial Jurisdiction)

M. J. C. No. of 2012

(Arising out of CWJC No. 1056 of 2007 disposed of on
22.7.2011)

Umesh Yadav @ Umesh Prasad Yadav and another... Petitioners

versus

The State of Bihar and othersOpp. Parties.

Subject: Contempt Matter

INDEX

<u>Sl.No.</u>	<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Pages</u>
1.	An application with Affidavit	1-7
2.	Annexure-1: A true photo copy of order dated 22.7.11	8-10
3.	Annexure-2 & 2/A: Phto copies of application dated 11.9.11 & 12.11.11	11-12
4.	Vakalatnama	

* * * * *

COURT FEE

Rs. 0000055 ≈ 14.9.2012
372403 BIHAR
INDIA **Zero*Zero*Zero*Zero*Zero*Five*Five***

AUTH NO. 3185 DT. 16/12/2008

8894
14/9/12
10.0.R. 5/58

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(Miscellaneous Judicial Jurisdiction)

M.J.C. No. of 2012

(Arising out of C.W.J.C.No. 1056 of 2007 disposed of on
22.7.2011)

P-1-7
A 8-12
MS
18/09/12

In the matter of an
application under sections
12 and 14 of the Contempt
of Court Act and read with
215 of the Constitution of
India.

And

In the matter of:-

1. Umesh Yadav @ Umesh Prasad Yadav, Son of Late
Dhaneshwar Yadav, Resident of village- Chakla (North
Side) P.O. Sukhashan Chakla, P.S. & District -
Madhepura.

2. Surendra Prasad Yadav, Son of Late Sital Prasad Yadav,
Resident of Village and P.O. Parmanandpur, Via-
Vadma, P.S. Murliganj, District Madhepura.

..... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. , Secretary, Personal and
Administrative Reforms Department, Government of
Bihar, Patna.
3. , Joint Development
Commissioner, Rural Development Department, Bihar,
Patna.
4. , Deputy Development
Commissioner, Rural Development Government of Bihar,
Patna.
5. , District Magistrate,
Madhepura, District Madhepura.
6. , Special Secretary,

Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna.

7. , Director Account
Administration and Self Planis District Rural Develop-
ment Agency, Madhepura, District Madhepura.
8. Shraavan Kumar Paswan, Deputy Development Commissioner,
Madhepura, District Madhepura.
9. Smt. Milan Devi, Chairman Zila Parishad, ~~Manakong~~
Managing Committee, D.R.D.A. District Madhepura,
.....Opp. Parties.

To,

The Hon'ble Ms. Justice Rekha M. Doshit, the Chief
Justice of the High Court of Judicature at Patna
and her companion justices of the said Hon'ble Court.

The humble petition on behalf of
the petitioners above.

Most Respectfully Sheweth:-

1. That this is an application for initiation of a contempt proceeding against the aforesaid opposite parties for wilfully disobedience of the order passed by this Hon'ble Mr. Justice V.N. Sinha on 22.7.2011 in CWJC No. 1056/2007.
2. That the writ petition was filed by the petitioner vide CWJC No. 1056/2007 in which the Hon'ble Justice was pleased to given a direction to consider the case of the petitioners for regularisation in the light of the circular dated 10.5.2005.

A true photo copy of order dated 22.7.2011 is being annexed herewith and marked as Annexure-1 to this petition.
3. That the petitioner has filed an application with copy of the order before the concerned authority but no order was passed till today.

A true photo copy of application dated 11.9.11 and 12.11.11 is being annexed herewith and marked as Annexure-2 & 2/A to this petition.

4. That it is relevant to mention here that no any action taken by the authority concern as yet. The petitioners are in great want to the complementation of the aforesaid order but he has not passed any order in this regard.
5. That the petitioners are in great mental agony due to non-redressal of ~~k~~ their grievance.
6. That the petitioners have not moved earlier in contempt petition and was come for the first time in this regard.
7. That the petitioners have no any other alternative and efficacious remedy ~~to~~ except to move before this Hon'ble Court.

It is therefore, prayed that your

-6-

Lordships may graciously be pleased
to admit this application issue notice
to the opposite party and after hearing
the parties pleased to initiate the
contempt proceeding against the
opposite parties and punished to
concerned opposite parties for willfully
-y disobedience of the Hon'ble Court.

And/or

Pass such other order/orders as your
Lordships may deem fit and proper.

And for this the petitioners shall ever pray.

A F F I D A V I T

I, Umesh Yadav @ Umesh Prasad Yadav, aged about 35 yrs, Son of Late Dhaneshwar Yadav, R/o village Chakla ((North Side) P.O. Sukhashan Chakla, P.S. & Dist. Madhepura, do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:-

1. That I am petitioner no.1 in this case and as such well acquainted with the facts and circumstances of this case.
2. That the contents of this petition have been read over and explained to me in Hindi which I have fully understood the same and are true to my knowledge and belief.
3. That the Annexures are true photo copies of their respective originals.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CWJC No.1056 of 2007

1. Umesh Yadav @ Umesh Prasad Yadav, SON OF LATE DHANESHWAR YADAV, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- CHAKLA (NORTH SIDE) P.O. SUKHASHAN CHAKLA, P.S. AND DISTRICT- MADHEPURA.
2. SURENDRA PRASAD YADAV, SON OF LATE SITAL PRASAD YADAV, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE AND P.O. PARMANANDPUR, VIA- YADMA, P.S. MURLIGANJ, DISTRICT- MADHEPURA :--PETITIONERS.

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. THE SECRETARY, PERSONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE, REFORMS DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR, PATNA.
3. THE JOINT DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, BIHAR, PATNA.
4. DEPUTY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, RURAL DEVELOPMENT GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR, PATNA.
5. DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, MADHEPURA, DISTRICT- MADHEPURA.
6. SPECIAL SECRETARY, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR, PATNA.
7. DIRECTOR ACCOUNT ADMINISTRATION AND SELF PLANIS DISTRICT RURAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY, MADHEPURA, DISTRICT- MADHEPURA.
8. DEPUTY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, MADHEPURA, DISTRICT- MADHEPURA.
9. ~~MANAGING COMMITTEE, D.R. D.A. DISTRICT- MADHEPURA~~ --- RESPONDENTS.

For the petitioners : Sri Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, A.C. to G.P.21.
For Respondent No. 7 : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Pandey, Advocate.

2. 22.07.2011.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Petitioners were engaged by the D.R.D.A., Madhepura to serve as Peon, Driver. They rendered satisfactory service from the date of their initial engagement in 1987 till 1999 when they were removed whereafter they were again engaged in the year 2004 and finally removed under order bearing Memo No. 933 dated 11th September, 2004, Annexure-20. Aforesaid removal order was challenged before this Court by filing

9

C.W.J.C.No. 15104 of 2004 which was dismissed under orders dated 21.07.2006, Annexure-A to the counter affidavit.

3. Present writ petition has been filed asserting that petitioners having been engaged in 1987 and served the D.R.D.A. to the satisfaction of all concerned, their case is required to be considered for regularization in the light of the Government resolution bearing no. 489 dated 10.05.2005, Annexure-21, whereunder the cut off date for considering the daily-wagers for regularization was extended from 01.08.1985 to 11.12.1990. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that in the earlier writ petition petitioners could not rely on the resolution dated 10.05.2005 to submit that as and when D.R.D.A., Madhepura proceeds to make appointment, they should consider the case of the petitioners in the light of the resolution of the State Government dated 10.05.2005, Annexure-21 which inter alia require the State to consider the case of daily-wagers engaged prior to 11.12.1990 for regularization as and when appointment/regularization is made by the organization, where the daily-wager concerned has served. In the light of the resolution of the State Government dated 10.05.2005, Annexure-21, I direct the Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Incharge District Rural

Development Agency to consider the case of the petitioners for regularization/absorption in the light of the circular dated 10.05.2005 as and when they proceed to make appointment in the D.R.D.A. It goes without saying that while considering the case of the petitioners the authorities of the D.R.D.A. will grant them the necessary age relaxation as also preference for the services already rendered to the Agency.

4. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

sd/-
(V.N.Sinha,J.)

P.A.P.

CONFIRMED TO BE TRUE PHOTO COPY
[Signature]
 For Joint Registrar (A)
 Patna High Court
 Enacted U/S 96 Act 1 of 1970
[Signature]
 16.2.2012
 20.99.9655



सीवा में

सी मान उपलिकाय आयुक्त महीदय जिना
ग्रामीण विकास समीकरण महीदय

विषय : सी डबल्यू जे 0 सी 0 नं 1056/2007 मा
माननीय उच्च न्यायालय बिहार पटना का
पारित आदेश 22/7/2011 कापालन करने
के सम्बन्ध में

पुनर्विचार
आदेश

माननीय

संनिधय निवेदन है कि सी डबल्यू जे
सी 0 नं 1056/2007 में पारित आदेश के
सी मान के निवार उपरान्त अनुभव के
प्रकार निरूपित करने का सी मान से
निवेदन है मुझे अनुबंध प्रतियुक्ति वय
जाय ताकी मेरे पारी कप से मेरा पारित
वज अरुत पीछा ही सब
इसके लिए सी मान का अंका
वना रहेगा

वारीक
17/9/2011

आप का विचार माइन
उपेक्षा पाठक पूर्व में
मजदूर जिना ग्रामीण विकास
संश्लेषण महीदय

सेवा में

Answer - 22/8/10

उपायकारिता आयुक्त

12

मन्दीपुरा

(जिसे
ले लिखें)

विषय :- मा० उच्च न्यायालय विद्या पटना के द्वारा
आदेश के संबंध में।

संदर्भ :- उपर्युक्त विषय के संबंध में कहा है कि
माननीय उच्च न्यायालय पटना के
द्वारा सी० डब्ल्यू० जी० सी० सं० 1056/2007
में पाला आदेश की दया प्रति संलग्न
कर रहे हैं।

अनुसंध है कि मा० उच्च
न्यायालय पटना द्वारा पारित आदेश कि
अनुपालन में करवाई जाने की कृपा
की जाय।

आप का विश्वास
उपेक्षापत्र उर्फ
उपेक्षा प्रसाद पत्र

गारुडि
12/11/10